Instructions to Evaluators:

Evaluation Approach

The technical evaluation will include evaluation of the offeror's: (1) technical ability; (2) past performance (reference checks); (3) financial ability; and (4) interviews with key management staff.

Evaluators should use the attached form to evaluate the technical proposals. Some elements of the technical evaluation will rely on reports from other individuals. For example, evaluators will not need to conduct reference checks. There also needs to be an evaluation of financial documentation. Each evaluator will provide a report to the evaluation team so that you can score each proposer.

Thus, complete only the first category or scoring factor (Technical Ability) until these other experts provide their analyses. The final scoring category will be completed after interviews with the vendors.

Scoring Proposals

It is recommended that each evaluator read each proposal first. Then, do your scoring during a second read of each proposal.

In each category, the maximum number of points that can be awarded is listed in the second column. The XXX recommends:

- If an offeror met all the technical standards required in the proposal, one-half of the points should be awarded.
- If a proposer excels in addressing each requirement, award up to the maximum number of points, at the evaluator's discretion.
- If a proposer addresses all technical requirements, but fails to achieve a level of accomplishment in describing how it will perform under the contract, award less than one-half of the points at the evaluator's discretion.

You may use the attached blank sheet to record key notes during your review. It would be particularly helpful if you added notations regarding superior scores or scores that are lower than average. As the written procurement file will contain both your evaluation score sheet and notes, please return both documents/pages when complete.

RFP Evaluation Scoring Sheet

| | | Proposer Company | | |
|---|-------------|---|-------------|--|
| Evaluation Factor | Points | | | |
| Technical Ability (40%) | Tomes | | | |
| Evaluate this factor based on your review of the te | echnical pr | posals distributed by the City Clerk. | | |
| | 20 | posais aistributea by the City Cierk. | | |
| 1. Qualifications (20%) | 20 | | | |
| 2. Proposed Personnel (20%) | 20 | | | |
| 3. Transition Plan (10%) | 10 | | | |
| 4. Proposed Management and Operations Plan (20%) | 20 | | | |
| 5. Risks and Added Value Assessment (10%) | 10 | | | |
| 6. Proposed Maintenance and Equipment Plan (20%) | 20 | | | |
| Subtotal - Technical | 100 | | | |
| Past Performance (20%) | | | | |
| Evaluate this factor based interviews with referen | ces that wi | be provided by the technical assistance | consultant. | |
| Reference Checks | 50 | | | |
| Subtotal – Past Performance | 50 | | | |
| Financial Ability (10%) | | | | |
| Evaluate this factor based on report to be prepared by the City Finance Department. | | | | |
| Financial Ability and Risk Ranking | 25 | | | |
| Subtotal – Past Performance | 25 | | | |
| Interviews with Key Staff (30%) | | | | |
| Evaluate this factor based on vendor interviews. | | | | |
| Interviews with Key Management Staff | 75 | | | |
| Subtotal – Interviews | 75 | | | |
| Total – All Evaluation Points | 250 | | | |
| | | | | |

| Reviewer Name: | Tit | le: |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|
| ite vie vei i vanie. | 110 | 10. |

Reviewer Notes

| Use this sheet to record any notes while you read and evaluate proposals. All notes become part of the procurement | |
|--|--|
| history file. | |